

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A PRACTICAL QUESTION.

To the Editor of the Assurance Magazine.

Sir,—It having been suggested in a letter from Mr. Tucker, in vol. v., p. 255, that practical questions might fitly be commented on in the pages of the Magazine, and Mr. Gray, in a recent volume, having advocated the importance of keeping up the "Correspondence Department," I venture to trouble you with the following case which has occurred in practice, hoping that although it presents no algebraical difficulty in the handling of it, it may yet prove of sufficient interest to attract some of your readers.

"A lady, aged 67 last birthday, holding a jointure well secured, wishes "an advance of £1,000 to enable her to buy a house. What annuity "will an Assurance Company require, the house reverting to the Company

" at her death?"

First method.

This may be viewed in the first instance as two separate transactions; and we shall proceed in the first place to determine the annuity, without taking into account the value of the reversion.

Thus, fixing the interest on our advance at 5 per cent., we have the following calculation:—

Premium at age
$$68 = (\text{say})$$
 $\underbrace{\textbf{£9 15 1}}_{9.754}$
 $d = \text{one year's interest at 5 per cent. discounted} = \underbrace{\frac{4.762}{4.762}}_{100}$

Annuity due , in which the Company must be secured = $\underbrace{14.516}_{100}$

$$\frac{100}{14.516} = 6.889$$

$$-\frac{1}{5.889}$$
= value of annuity of £1, first payment at end of one year.

Then by the proportion 5.889:1000::1:169.81 we find the annuity required for the advance of £1,000 absolutely to be (say) £170.

In the second place, we have now to determine what deduction should be made from this annuity in consideration of the reversion of the house; and let us consider this reversion equivalent to that of an absolute sum of £750, one fourth being deducted for probable depreciation of the property.

And multiplying by
$$\frac{7\frac{1}{2}}{37084}$$
We have value of reversion of £750= $\frac{556\cdot260}{556\cdot260}$

Now the annuity which by the Office Tables £100 will purchase is (say) £10. 13s.: therefore $10.65 \times 5.5626 = 59.241$ gives the annuity (payable

yearly) to be granted for purchase price of £556. 5s. 2d., and deducting it from the annuity required by the Company, as above £170 0 0

59 4 10

We have the net annuity required . . . £110 15

Second method.

The transaction, however, may be looked upon in another light.

If we look upon the reversion as equivalent to that of an absolute sum of £750, all that the Company require to assure on the life 68, is the amount of depreciation, £250.

That being the case, the calculation will stand thus:-

One-fourth of
$$p = 2.438$$

 $d = 4.762$

Annuity due in which the Company must be secured 7.200

$$\frac{100}{7 \cdot 2} = \frac{13 \cdot 889}{-1}$$

12.889 = value of annuity of £1, first payment at end of one year.

Then by the proportion 12.889 : 1000 :: 1 : 77.585, we have £77. 11s. 8d. as the net annuity which the Company require to secure them, a seemingly fair rate.

If we adopt the second view of the case, the transaction partakes more of the nature of an advance on security, and involves the consideration of the desirableness of lending on house property. But taking into account the extent to which depreciation is provided against, I think the security may be held to be good.

The first method is that which would be adopted, in each case, if the two proposals contained in the transaction were made by different persons.

Between the limits there is a wide range for fixing the rate, and I shall be glad to have the opinion of any gentleman as to what may be thought an equitable one.

P.S.—Under the first method, the value of the reversion has been taken so as to bring out the most favourable value for the proposer. If it had been found by the usual formula $\frac{1-ia_x}{1+i}$,—taking a_x from the Office tables and i at 5 per cent. from Orchard*—it would have been only £379. 1s. 3d., which would have brought out the difference between the rates of annuity required under the two methods, still greater.

^{*} Is our correspondent correct in terming this the "usual formula"? As regards the problem, we should ourselves be disposed to adopt his Second method, taking d at sir per cent., = 05660, which would give £88. 2s. 5d. as the annuity to be received by the Company.—Ed. J. I. A.